Management of Bronchopulmonary Dysplasia and Respiratory Distress Syndrome

In this Issue...

The chronic lung disease of infancy, termed bronchopulmonary dysplasia (BPD), is one of the most common and serious complications of extreme premature birth. Current strategies to reduce the incidence of BPD primarily focus on reducing the postnatal injury inflicted on preterm infants' immature lungs. These include limiting exposure to invasive ventilation by increasing noninvasive ventilation, instituting caffeine therapy for apnea of prematurity, employing gentle ventilation techniques when invasive ventilation is required, and thoughtfully providing supplemental oxygen.

In this issue, we review recent studies that build on existing strategies by:

- clarifying the preferred approach to surfactant administration in preterm infants, identifying clinical benefits of noninvasive ventilation as a primary mode of respiratory support
- examining safe oxygen saturation ranges in preterm infants
- describing innovative research into the use of stem cell-based therapies to provide a potentially feasible and effective means of preventing BPD
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After participating in this activity, the participant will demonstrate the ability to:

- Discuss the current role of exogenous surfactant therapy in the treatment of respiratory distress syndrome.
- Identify current therapeutic strategies targeted at reducing the incidence of bronchopulmonary dysplasia.
- Evaluate the emerging evidence for stem cell therapies as applies to the prevention of bronchopulmonary dysplasia.
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Neonatal respiratory distress syndrome (RDS) is a condition of respiratory insufficiency occurring in neonates, secondary to deficient or dysfunctional surfactant.\textsuperscript{1,2} Pulmonary surfactant lowers alveolar surface tension and aids in maintaining the functional residual capacity of the lung.\textsuperscript{2}

Bronchopulmonary dysplasia (BPD) is a chronic respiratory condition primarily affecting infants born at the extremes of prematurity. BPD is defined clinically and multiple diagnostic criteria currently exist,\textsuperscript{3-5} creating significant variability in the reported incidence of BPD and presenting difficulties when comparing the effectiveness of potential therapies.\textsuperscript{6} The reported incidence of BPD is between 35\% and 50\% in infants born at less than 28 weeks.\textsuperscript{7-10} The management of these two neonatal conditions is closely entwined as they share common risk factors, and interventions prompted by RDS may alter the risk of BPD.

Exogenous surfactant administration is an essential treatment in the effective management of RDS in preterm neonates. More than 30 randomized trials have been conducted and demonstrate that exogenous surfactant is effective at reducing the incidence of pneumothorax, as well as reducing neonatal mortality. Controversy still exists regarding the best surfactant preparation, optimal timing, and mode of administration.\textsuperscript{1} Early evidence suggested that prophylactic administration of surfactant was the preferable approach, compared to treating only infants with established RDS. Prophylactic surfactant reduced air leaks, mortality, and the combined outcome of BPD or death. However, these early studies did not include current practices involving stabilization of preterm neonates using continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP) and widespread use of antenatal steroids. Additionally, prophylactic surfactant and the required preceding intubation are not without risk and are not necessary in all very preterm infants.\textsuperscript{1,2} The (reviewed herein) meta-analysis by Rojas-Reyes and colleagues, which includes recent studies with high antenatal corticosteroid use and early CPAP, indicates that selective surfactant therapy is associated with reduced BPD and death in neonates, compared with prophylactic surfactant. Those caring for preterm neonates must now strive to find the balance between avoiding mechanical ventilation when feasible and providing exogenous surfactant as early as possible to preterm infants with RDS.\textsuperscript{1}

BPD is a multifactorial disease process that is associated with long-term health consequences, including poor neurodevelopmental outcome and chronic respiratory conditions such as asthma and pulmonary hypertension. The paucity of effective therapies, with acceptable side effect profiles, has resulted in the incidence of BPD remaining unchanged or possibly increased in recent years. Current treatment strategies primarily attempt to reduce the post-natal injury inflicted on the premature lung.\textsuperscript{11}

Caffeine is recognized as a standard of care in the treatment of apnea of prematurity and has been shown to reduce the incidence of BPD, likely by reducing exposure to positive pressure ventilation.\textsuperscript{12} Dexamethasone is an effective therapy for BPD, but it has been associated with adverse neurodevelopmental outcomes. The analysis by Doyle et al assists in identifying infants most likely to obtain a net benefit from postnatal corticosteroid therapy.

Another approach to reducing lung injury in preterm neonates is the increased use of noninvasive ventilation as a primary mode of respiratory support. The reviewed article by Schmölder et al supports the use of CPAP in the delivery room as a means to reduce the occurrence of BPD in preterm infants less than 32 weeks’ gestation at birth. Lung injury secondary to oxidative stress is implicated in the pathogenesis of BPD, and researchers are attempting to identify the optimal postnatal oxygen saturation range for preterm neonates. Early studies indicated that a restricted approach to oxygen exposure was safe and beneficial in reducing the incidence of retinopathy of prematurity (ROP) and BPD. The meta-analysis by Saugstad and Aune provides further information by summarizing studies of low (85\%-89\%) vs high (91\%-95\%) oxygen saturation targeting in preterm neonates less than 28 weeks. While the authors did not discover a significant difference in the
primary outcome of death or major disability at 18-24 months or in the secondary outcome of BPD, they identified a reduction in severe ROP and a concerning increased risk of mortality and necrotizing enterocolitis (NEC) in the low-targeted oxygen saturation group. This meta-analysis highlights important potential complications of targeting oxygen saturations less than 90% in infants born at less than 28 weeks' gestational age.

BPD is one of the most common and serious complications of extreme premature birth. Survivors of preterm birth with BPD are at increased risk for long-term neurodevelopmental and pulmonary morbidity. Innovative strategies are needed, and stem cell-based therapies represent a promising and novel approach. Stem cell research and literature have expanded at rapidly over the past decade, with a variety of cell types undergoing exploration for therapeutic benefit. Mesenchymal stromal cells (MSC) have received particular attention as a potential new therapeutic intervention for BPD. Chang et al have published the first clinical trial demonstrating the feasibility of MSC therapy for BPD and providing evidence for the short-term safety of this therapy in preterm neonates. The authors intend to complete further clinical trials investigating the efficacy and safety of MSC transplantation for prevention of BPD. While results of these trials are anxiously awaited, ongoing research is required to elucidate the mechanisms by which MSCs function, and continued rigorous preclinical research is required to verify long-term safety.
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SELECTIVE SURFACTANT THERAPY FOR RDS IN PRETERM INFANTS


View Journal Abstract  View Full Article
This recent Cochrane meta-analysis by Rojas-Reyes and colleagues compared the effect of prophylactic surfactant administration with selective surfactant therapy in very preterm infants. Infants in the selective surfactant group could be managed with or without early CPAP. Randomized trials enrolling preterm infants were eligible for inclusion. Prophylactic surfactant was defined as intubation, at birth, of infants at high risk of developing RDS for the purpose of giving surfactant therapy. Selective surfactant therapy was defined as the administration of surfactant only to infants requiring intubation and demonstrating signs of RDS.

Eleven studies were identified; in the selective surfactant group, nine did not include the routine application of CPAP at birth, and two included routine stabilization with CPAP at birth. Variability in the definition of infants at high risk of developing RDS resulted in a range of gestational age cutoffs. Eight studies included infants with a maximum gestational age of 30 weeks, while three studies included infants up to a gestational age of 31 or even 32 weeks. All types of surfactant products were eligible.

Six of the 11 studies reported on the outcome of BPD defined as the need for supplemental oxygen at 36 weeks postmenstrual age. The combined analysis identified a trend toward increased risk of BPD with prophylactic surfactant (RR: 1.13, 95% CI 1.00 to 1.28, I² = 0%). Meta-analysis of the three studies reporting information on the combined outcome of BPD or death demonstrated an increased risk of BPD or death with prophylactic surfactant use (RR: 1.13; 95% CI: 1.02 to 1.25, I² = 0%) and a number needed to harm of 17. Where studies with routine CPAP stabilization were included, no statistically significant differences were found for other important clinical outcomes such as PVL, ROP, NEC, sepsis, pneumothorax, and PDA.

This meta-analysis suggests the preferred approach to surfactant therapy and the management of RDS is early stabilization of preterm infants on CPAP and administration of surfactant to only those infants requiring intubation. A separate recent Cochrane review comparing early vs delayed surfactant therapy in preterm neonates intubated for RDS demonstrated that early therapy decreases the risk of neonatal mortality, BPD, and acute pulmonary injury.¹ There is increasing interest in less invasive methods of surfactant delivery as a means to provide early therapy but avoid risks associated with intubation and mechanical ventilation. The delivery of surfactant via a thin catheter to spontaneously breathing infants has been found to reduce the need for mechanical ventilation and reduce the rate of BPD.²⁻⁴ Further research into this and other less invasive methods of surfactant delivery is needed.
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NON-INVASIVE RESPIRATORY SUPPORT AT BIRTH FOR STABILIZATION OF PRETERM INFANTS


[View Journal Abstract] [View Full Article]
The lungs of very premature infants are particularly vulnerable to injury from mechanical ventilation. The lack of effective pharmacologic therapies for BPD has prompted significant interest in the modification of known risk factors, including mechanical ventilation. Noninvasive ventilation, including nasal continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP), is increasingly being used in preterm neonates to stabilize functional residual capacity and improve lung compliance, with a goal of avoiding intubation and mechanical ventilation.

For this 2013 report, Schmolzer and colleagues performed a systematic review and meta-analysis examining the use of nasal CPAP soon after birth for prevention of death or BPD in very preterm neonates. Eligible studies were randomized controlled trials comparing primary respiratory support with nasal CPAP vs intubation in preterm infants born less than 32 weeks gestation, and reporting the outcomes of death and BPD. BPD was defined as the need for supplemental oxygen or mechanical ventilation at 36 weeks' corrected gestational age. Four studies, including a total of 2780 infants, satisfied the inclusion criteria. None of the studies were blinded, as the type of intervention is not conducive to blinding. There were no significant differences between the nasal CPAP and intubation groups with respect to birth weight and gestational age. Infants treated with nasal CPAP were significantly less likely to require mechanical ventilation (RR: 0.56; 95% CI: 0.32 to 0.97). Nasal CPAP as a primary mode of respiratory support at birth conferred a significant benefit in the combined outcome of BPD or death, or both (RR: 0.90; 95% CI: 0.83 to 0.98), with a number needed to treat of 25 and a borderline significant reduction in BPD alone (RR: 0.91; 95% CI: 0.81 to 1.01). Other secondary outcomes – including pneumothorax, postnatal corticosteroid use, PDA, NEC, grade III/IV IVH, and ROP – did not vary significantly between the nasal CPAP and intubation groups.

Nasal CPAP as a primary mode of respiratory support, initiated in the delivery room, has the potential to reduce the outcome of death or BPD in very preterm infants. However, limitations to generalizing the results of this meta-analysis include variations in study design, inability to blind the intervention, and the requirement for antenatal consent (as this preselects for more stable pregnancies). Caution must be exercised when applying the results of this meta-analysis to infants less than 25 weeks' gestation, as there was very limited enrollment of infants in this population, which represents the highest-risk infants, who are most likely to require early intubation.
have published data on the composite outcome death or severe neurosensory disability at 18-24 months; analysis of these data show no significant difference between low and high saturation groups. Of note is that halfway through the BOOST II trial there was a change in the pulse oximeter software intended to improve oxygen saturation targeting. Following this change, an interim analysis identified increased mortality in the low-targeted oxygen saturation group, prompting early termination of two of the BOOST II trials.

Based on these results, the authors suggest that oxygen saturation should be targeted at 90%-95% in infants born less than 28 weeks' gestation until they reach 36 weeks' postmenstrual age. It is important to note that these results differ from earlier meta-analyses addressing restricted vs liberal oxygen exposure in preterm or low birth weight infants, where it was concluded that restricted oxygen reduced the incidence and severity of ROP and BPD without increasing mortality. The studies included in the previous meta-analyses had highly variable definitions of restricted oxygen exposure and very few reported the outcome of mortality.¹²
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BALANCING THE USE OF POSTNATAL CORTICOSTEROID THERAPY FOR BPD


Controversy surrounds the use of systemic corticosteroids to prevent or treat BPD in preterm infants. Dexamethasone has demonstrated efficacy in reducing the incidence of BPD, but an association with an increased risk of adverse neurodevelopmental outcome often limits clinical use.¹ As both BPD and postnatal corticosteroid use are linked to adverse neurologic outcome, the risk/benefit ratio of corticosteroid therapy may vary with an infant's risk of developing BPD.

Doyle and colleagues have reexamined the relationship between BPD, postnatal corticosteroid therapy, and the outcome of death or cerebral palsy (CP). Twenty randomized controlled trials were included in their analysis, and a negative relationship between the risk difference for death or CP and the rate of BPD in the control group was identified (P = 0.008). This relationship is consistent with the authors' previous analysis, published in 2005, of data from 14 randomized controlled trials available at that time.² This relationship indicates that infants with the highest risk for BPD may benefit from postnatal corticosteroid therapy with respect to survival free of CP. The authors conclude that this information may help guide clinicians in the use of postnatal corticosteroids, as the clinician could attempt to establish an infant's baseline risk of BPD and use the regression equation to determine if therapy is likely to have a net benefit.
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Stem cells are undifferentiated cells that are capable of self-renewal and have the capacity to differentiate into a variety of cell types. Research indicates that the lung possesses populations of multipotent endogenous stem cells, including distal lung epithelial stem cells, lung mesenchymal stromal cells (MSCs), and lung endothelial progenitor cells (EPCs). Recent animal and human studies link depletion or dysfunction of endogenous stem cells in the immature lung to the pathogenesis of BPD. This link has provided the foundation for ongoing investigation of stem cell supplementation as a means to prevent or repair injury to the immature lung. The therapeutic potential of reparative cells — including EPCs, MSCs and amnion epithelial cells — has been investigated in animal models of BPD and shown to confer benefits.

MSCs are the most extensively studied cell type in relation to their therapeutic potential for BPD. MSCs can be obtained from the bone marrow, umbilical cord blood, umbilical cord, Wharton jelly, placenta, and adipose tissue. In animal models of BPD, the administration of MSCs have ameliorated lung inflammation, fibrosis, lung vascular damage, and alveolar growth impairment, thereby improving lung function and exercise tolerance. In preclinical studies, MSCs have demonstrated low engraftment rates in the lung, implying that their therapeutic benefits are mediated by a paracrine mechanism. Supporting the theory of paracrine-mediated effects are studies demonstrating that the conditioned media from MSCs prevents oxygen-induced alveolar simplification, protects alveolar epithelial and microvasculature endothelial cells from oxidative stress, and promotes a subset of endogenous stem cell to aid in lung repair.1

A growing body of evidence supports the use of stem cell-based therapies for BPD. However, safe clinical translation depends on continued rigorous preclinical research to investigate long-term outcomes of cell therapies and identify the optimal cell type and origin, as well as to determine the preferred dose and route of administration. Identifying these data will be important to standardizing approaches to stem cell definition, isolation, expansion, and manufacturing.
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MSC-based therapies for BPD recently crossed the barrier from preclinical to clinical trials with the first published study using MSCs as a therapy for BPD in neonates. Chang et al completed a clinical trial investigating the feasibility and safety of MSCs as a therapy for BPD. This open-label, phase I dose escalation trial of human umbilical cord blood-derived MSCs included nine premature infants born between 23 and 29 weeks' gestational age. Infants 5-14 days of age were eligible for inclusion if they were requiring ventilation with a rate > 12 breaths/minute and supplemental oxygen > 25%. Treatment consisted of single intratracheal allogenic transplantation of human umbilical cord blood MSCs obtained from
term infants and used within 24 hours of manufacturing. The first three infants received a low dose of 1 x 10^7 cells/kg; when no dose-limiting toxicity occurred, the next six infants received a high dose of 2 x 10^7 cells/kg. Dose-limiting toxicity was defined as death within six hours of MSC therapy or anaphylactic shock related to MSC therapy.

Adverse outcomes of the treatment group were compared with a cohort of historical case-matched infants. The transplantation procedure was well tolerated by all patients. Six infants subsequently developed serious adverse events (SAEs) that were not attributed to the MSC therapy: PDA requiring ligation, pneumothorax related to PDA ligation, NEC requiring surgery, PVL, and ROP ≥ stage 3. There were no significant differences in the frequency of SAEs between MSC treated infants and historical controls, with the exception of a reduction in the severity of BPD in treated infants. Interestingly, the group of infants treated with high-dose MSCs appeared to have a longer, although not statistically significant, duration of ventilation when compared to the low-dose group.

The primary objective of this study was to examine the safety and feasibility of MSC therapy for BPD in high-risk premature neonates; conclusions about the effect of this therapy on the severity of BPD cannot be made. Although the stated study population included premature neonates at high-risk of BPD, the ventilation criteria specified could be considered conservative in many neonatal intensive care units, potentially reflecting a lower-risk population. While this likely would not alter the primary safety/feasibility outcome, it may skew the risk-benefit ratio of an investigational therapy in premature neonates.

The authors conclude that intratracheal MSC therapy is safe and feasible, thus warranting further studies. These investigators are proceeding with a phase II randomized, double-blinded, multicenter, controlled trial using an intratracheal transplantation of human umbilical cord blood-derived MSCs at the low dose (1 x 10^7 cells/kg) for treatment of BPD in premature infants. A sample size of 70 infants is targeted for the primary outcome of moderate to severe BPD or mortality at 36 weeks’ CGA. Long-term follow-up studies of infants enrolled in both the phase I and phase II trials are planned. Infants in the phase I trial will be followed to 21 +/- 3 months CGA, while infants in the phase II trial will be followed to 60 months CGA.
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